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THE FOURTH AMENDMENT: ELUSIVE STANDARDS; ELUSIVE REVIEW  

Anne Bowen Poulin 127

In United States v. Chaidez, the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit has moved to a more flexible standard for judging the constitutionality of seizures which are more intrusive than a Terry stop yet not equivalent to an arrest. Chaidez provides a flexible, sliding scale test of the constitutionality of intermediate seizures of the person. The court would evaluate the interest of the individual in avoiding governmental intrusion, against the interests of the state in fighting crime. The Chaidez test has some advantages, especially the ability to consider the acts of a particular case. However, the test's flexibility carries the disadvantages of reduced consistency in results, fewer guidelines for police, increased opportunities for errors and abuse, and less judicial supervision, especially if a deferential standard of review is used. In trying to avoid the problems of a bright line rule for seizure cases, the Chaidez court developed a Constitutional standard with its own problems. Therefore, in order to protect Fourth Amendment interests and purposes and to provide more guidance to police, the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit should return to the Constitutional tests on seizures as established by the Supreme Court.
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Employee ownership became more popular, and more flexible, in the United States in the 1980's. There are now employee-owned businesses that are quite large, complex operations with multiple job titles; businesses that are partially employee-owned with publicly-traded stock held by other investors or with heavy financing from lenders; businesses that were much more successful under employee ownership than under conventional ownership. The success of these enterprises should occasion a fresh look at the existing economic literature on employee ownership. The firmest conclusion is that theories of employee ownership must be firm-specific, explaining why employee ownership will be successful in some firms but not others. One proposed synthesis of the existing literature is that employee ownership will be positive for firm productivity. Low trust is most commonly caused by the division of the work force into managers and employees and historic opportunism by managers. It may also reflect professional or political workers who do not trust management other than themselves. In these circumstances, employee ownership will permit the adoption of flexible compensation arrangements that lessen the likelihood of layoffs. Modest public subsidization of employee ownership is helpful in permitting firms to identify when partial or majority employee ownership will be valuable for them.
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