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will see that a good abstract company occupies an important place in the development of a community. No state ever grew upon its own capital, but relies always upon that of older cities. Capital is a shy child, and will keep away from neighborhoods where uncertainty is found. An outstanding instance may be found locally in the tract generally known as Streeterville, which twenty years ago was a waste, vacant and unsightly. The title was and had been for years, in litigation, and purchasers would have nothing to do with it. When the title was cleared capital leaped in, and the district now contains many noble and impressive structures, among them the beautiful buildings of Northwestern University, the noble Tribune Tower, the Furniture Mart, said to be the largest single building in the world, the famous Drake Hotel, and scores of others. In anticipation of the needs of his customers the abstract maker must constantly pour money into the maintenance of his plant, hoping for his compensation when an abstract is ordered. It must not be permitted to run behind. Constant use wears out any book, even the best requiring replacement. Payment of assessments made in the various villages must be followed up at frequent intervals. The judgments entered in the many courts must be posted promptly. Tax payments must be checked and verified. Where an efficient abstract office is maintained uncertainty of titles is never general, and capital is found ready to enter and aid development. Such an institution is worthy of loyal support.

---

**Forensics Prize Winners Announced**

The class debating contests have been successfully concluded. In their respective groups the three best debaters have been selected in the order of their accomplishments and will be awarded the prizes they merit, which have been made possible by a fund donated to the College in memory of the late Hon. Edmund W. Burke, former dean of the College, by members of his family. The successful contestants in the Senior debating contest were:

First Prize ..........E. Douglas Schwantes
Second Prize ........Charles Edwards
Third Prize ..........David A. Skalitzky

The awards in the Junior contest went to the following:

First Prize ........J. Warren McCaffrey
Second Prize ........Vernon Sigler
Third Prize ..........Francis E. Cash

The winners of the Freshman debating contest were the following:

First Prize ..........Robert N. Bishop
Second Prize ..........Horace G. Marshall
Third Prize ..........Richard G. Finn

The three prize winning contestants in each class will be members of the class debating team in the interclass debates which will be held shortly in order to determine the class champions.

The first interclass debate will be between the teams of the Freshman and Junior classes on the evening of November 2nd. The subject to be struggled with on that occasion is: "Resolved, that national advertising, as now carried on, is socially and economically harmful." The Junior team will uphold the affirmative side of the question. The winner of this Freshman-Junior debate will be called upon to debate a selected resolution with the Senior class team on the evening of November 16. The winning team of this debate will be declared champion of the College.

These interclass debates, aside from the interest and spirit of rivalry they will develop between the different classes, should go a long way in preparing the members of the different class teams for the intercollegiate debates which will follow later.

The personnel of the debating team representing Chicago-Kent College of Law, in its intercollegiate debates, will include the members of the different class debating teams.