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EXTRA-JUDICIAL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE IN AFFIDAVITS AS CONSTITUTING PERJURY.

At common law, perjury was the willful and corrupt taking of a false oath pertaining to a matter material to the issue when administered in a judicial proceeding or in the course of justice. Perjury, as it was under the common law, has been considerably extended by statutory provisions promulgated by the legislatures of the various states. At the present time, a person can be prosecuted for perjury when he has sworn falsely, even though the oath has not been taken in a judicial proceeding as such. By the operation of the various state statutes, the crime of perjury is now complete if the false oath is taken knowingly and willfully on a subject, concerning which the party can be legally sworn, providing that the oath has been administered by an officer authorized by law so to do.

At the present time, therefore, an affiant can be successfully prosecuted for perjury when a false statement is contained in an affidavit subscribed by him, if the affidavit is required by law. This necessarily raises the following query:

While it is true that a false statement cannot be the subject of a prosecution for perjury as contained in an affidavit, unless the affidavit is one required by law, is it also true that the law must require the affidavit to contain the subject matter of the false statement?

Bishop on Criminal Law, 1892, Vol. 2, Sec. 1024, p. 2:

"But the affidavit, to be the subject of perjury, must be one provided for by law."

Section 1027, paragraph 1:

"Perjury cannot be founded on an extra-judicial oath, as,—if a party taking exceptions to a ruling against him in a cause, accompanies them by his affidavit when the law does not require it."

The cases on this question are rather old. The reason for this, it is believed, lies in the fact that the rule is so fundamental that its existence is presumed and has stood uncontested for some years.

The language employed in Vol. 30, Ency. Law and Proc., is indicative of this conclusion. On page 1046, Vol. 30 Cyc., in a section devoted to perjury in affidavits, the following language is found:

"If a person willfully and corruptly swears or affirms falsely as to a material matter in any affidavit in a judicial proceeding, or by statutes in many jurisdictions in any affidavit required by law, he is guilty of perjury."

Later on page 1410, 30 Cyc., in concluding an enumeration of various "miscellaneous proceedings" where perjury may be assigned, the editor says:

"Provided in all cases that the oath is one required or authorized by law."

There are no cases cited to support this statement. It is thus treated as a truism.
U. S. v. Nickerson, 58 U. S. 204. Pursuant to an act of Congress (3 Stat. at L. 49) the owner of every fishing vessel shall, in order to receive the bounty provided for by the act, produce the original agreement with the fishermen and a certified copy of the dates of sailing and returning, to the truth of which he shall swear before the Collector. Nickerson, an owner under the act, was indicted in the District Court of Massachusetts for perjury. The offense charged was the false swearing to the agreement with the fishermen, and the false swearing that three-fourths of the crew were citizens of the United States. Nickerson was acquitted in the District Court because the act of Congress only required the oath of the owner in reference to the dates of sailing and returning. On a subsequent indictment in the Circuit Court, the previous acquittal was pleaded in defense. On review the Supreme Court sustained the plea as good.

The case is thus authority for the rule that a false statement included in an affidavit to be the subject of a prosecution for perjury must be required by law.

Linn v. Commonwealth, 96 Pa. St. 285. The defendant here filed exceptions to the sufficiency of bail in error, in a case pending in the Supreme Court. The exceptions were accompanied by his affidavit. The statements in the affidavit were false and Linn was indicted and prosecuted for perjury. The court held that as no oath was required or authorized by law to be taken to the exceptions in question, the oath was extra-judicial and the false statements could not therefore be made the subject for indictment for perjury.

"There being no law which required exceptions to bail in error to be sworn to, the oath taken by the defendant was extra-judicial, and if false was not the subject of an indictment for perjury."

People v. Fox, 25 Mich. 492:

"Perjury is committed 'when a lawful oath is ministered by any that hath authority, to any person in any judicial proceeding, who swears absolutely and falsely in any matter material to the issue or cause in question.' There must be an oath authorized by law * * * and if it be claimed that this is statutory perjury, the information is not aided, for, by the statute, it must appear that the oath is one required or authorized by law."

Shely v. State (Texas 1895) 32 S. W. 901. The Texas statute required that a sheriff, precedent to establishing his right to recover his expenses in procuring a witness, must give his affidavit as to the amount due him. Such an affidavit was held to come within the phrase "an oath necessary for the prosecution of a private right" contained in Penal Code, Art. 188, which defined the crime of perjury. But the court went on to say that not only must an indictment in perjury charge that the oath was one required by law but also

"that it was under the circumstances in which the oath was required by law 'for the prosecution or defense of any private right.'"

By inference it is clear that in any case for an indictment in perjury to be successful in Texas, it must be alleged that the false statement was contained in an oath required by law.
There are two additional cases which seem to be pertinent. The headnotes to these cases are found in Vol. 39 of the Century Edition of the American Digest, page 667.

(a) New York 1875:

"An indictment for perjury in making a false affidavit for the purpose of obtaining audit of an unliquidated claim against a city, that does not aver that the affidavit was authorized by the charter of the city, or that it was made for the purpose required thereby or that the claim to which it was appended was ever presented to the common council for audit, is fatally defective." Ortner v. People, 4 Hun. 323.

(b) New York 1887:

"Perjury cannot be assigned on an affidavit which is not required by law to be made. Where it is alleged that a false affidavit was attached to a claim presented to the commissioner of public buildings of a city for his certificate, and the law provides for such affidavit only when the claim is presented to the common council, and there is no averment that the claim was ever presented to the common council, the indictment is insufficient." People v. Allen, 9 N. Y. St. Rep. 622.

The foregoing matter is pertinent in at least one important situation. A corporation, to do business in states other than the state under whose laws it has its being, is universally required to obtain a license so to act. Various states require affidavits to be filed, under the laws of the state, executed by officers of foreign corporations as conditions precedent to the issuance of the license to operate in the state in question. The administrative state officer, usually the Secretary of State, to whom the application is made by a foreign corporation, often exceeds his authority in requiring matters to be sworn to by the officers of the foreign corporation. When a statement, therefore, which has been made in an affidavit, after being unlawfully required by the licensing state officer, is false, it seems that the affiant could not, for the reasons indicated herein, be successfully prosecuted for perjury.

Tell the World
By BEN FELDMAN.

Surrounded by millions of persons the student is puzzled to account for that "lost" feeling when he steps into the rank and file of the practical everyday world to make his mark.

The status of the recently graduated lawyer is peculiarly true as to this situation. He has many friends; they encouraged him to study, told him of the success that would be his and now with the first lap of the race well run, the young lawyer seems deserted. No one gives him important work and the little matters seem so petty. He feels success has detoured on the road to his little office.
The young barrister feels that "the world" must know that he is practicing law. He believes that society owes him a debt, as he has fulfilled his obligation by becoming an advocate. And he ponders on how best to tell "the world" to deposit its laurels at his doorstep.

Here let him hesitate; for the decision may mean success or failure.

Five years of association with lawyers, as a newspaper reporter, has given me a partial insight into their thoughts.

"I will not be interviewed, as premature publicity would hurt my case," Lawyer Whoosis will say in answer to a question as to whether he will plead insanity or self-defense for his beautiful husband-slayer.

"Confidentially, however, we are springing a new one. It's a first page story, when ready. Don't quote me, but we'll prove that the husband is still alive. Good reading, eh? That'll ruin any murder charge, no one being permanently dead.

"The state will trump my ace, if you quote me. Might order an exhumation, or get Houdini on the job. But, old man, if you must print something, get the weeps in it for that stuff always helps the fair damsel in distress. Remember, I will not be quoted. Yes, I spell my name, J-O-H-N W-H-O-O-S-I-S, two O's and ends with the abbreviation for sister. By the way, you have a good photo of me in your reference department. See you again, and don't forget the firm name, Goofus and Whoosis."

And that is a fair picture of Mr. Young Lawyer of Today, "telling the world."

The problem is whether he has done right by his client, the court, the profession and himself.

Newspaper mention and personal acquaintanceship is about the scope of advertising ethically open to most branches of the law. Acquaintanceship is a matter for each person individually, and the ability to make and keep friends is truly a gift of the gods.

Newspaper mention should arise only after the legal representative has fully weighed his duty to his client, the court, the profession, and himself. With these duties well in mind, the lawyer may, or may not, grant an interview. But only after a most careful consideration should he decide.

Lawyers have often injured their clients and themselves by too much talking, obstreperous, and again many lawyers have done harm by not stating facts in the case when the client is being falsely maligned. Each case has its own problems and answers, and should not be the football of an overzealous lawyer who wants to "broadcast himself."

The golden rule of past ages is applicable today, "Do unto others as you would have others do unto you."
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FIRST ANNUAL HOMECOMING.

Past and present sons of Chicago-Kent College of Law to the number of over eight hundred met on the evening of March 4th. at the Broadway Armory to celebrate their first annual homecoming, and a real homecoming it was.

The ball was tilted as a starter about 8:30 with a few speeches, delivered by our own friends and alumni, men who have long since left the confines of Chicago-Kent, and who have for years engaged in the successful pursuit of their profession. The keynote of all the speeches was happiness in the fact that such a good showing was made at this, the first event of its kind in the west, and all of the speakers admonished the undergraduates never to say die, and to be proud of the great profession of which they are about to become members. The speeches were enjoyed by all, particularly because they were not too long nor too short, for the speakers knew from experience when to "rest their case."

The speeches were followed by cheers led by D. H. Murray, R. B. Short and Edwin Felt of the program committee, and considering the fact that most of the cheers were brand new to the student body and absolutely new to the alumni, they went over fine and served to stir up an early enthusiasm.

The basketball game followed as the first athletic event and although the Kent cagers fought as hard as they could, they lost to the invaders who were of a more stable character.

The basketball game was followed by a tug-of-war between the high and mighty juniors and the luckless plebes. This was, without a doubt, the greatest event of the evening and furnished a constant laugh from all the onlookers. However, it was not so funny for those participants who so grimly held the rope. Oh, that they would learn their lessons with the determination they displayed at the rope. "The juniors shall not prevail," the frosh said. "The frosh shall not prevail," was the battle cry of the juniors. The rope was of stout manila, one and one-quarter inches in diameter, and was held by the stoutest of the opposing classmates, to the number of about 100 on a side.

When the whistle blew each side gave a mighty tug, and a mighty tug it was. Never before did that rope experience such a strain, and never again. Never before did the pullers experience such a strain and never again will they. We pulled and they pulled; and they pulled and we pulled. The frosh finally pulled the juniors over to their side about fifteen feet at which time the great Irish police men and the high and dignified alumni came to the aid of the poor and downtrodden juniors so that they should not tread in the dust of the lowly frosh the rest of the year, and so the frosh lost. A glorious defeat it was. Never before have we experienced such an event. We have pulled at the end of a rope at a Sunday school picnic, but this was not any Sunday school picnic.

The tug-of-war was followed by three wrestling matches against Armour Tech. Kent won two out of three of these matches, and congratulations should be extended to the wrestlers for exhibiting such courage and skill, even in apparent defeat.

Last and not least was the fraternity obstacle race. For the followers of the ties of the Greek letters, this was the best of all. It is to be regretted that only four fraternities entered their men. We feel sure that all have
pledges, and what good is a pledge if he can't do the dirty work? To com-
plete the circuit in this obstacle race, the unlucky brother had to climb over
a six-foot wall, in and out of an empty barrel, over another wall, and then
through another barrel, each team 'having four men on its side. The very
first thing all four of the men hit the wall at the same time, two of them
finally getting over in spite of the boards coming off at the top. The other
two cleverly waited to see if more boards would come off before they attempted
it. It was all one person could do to watch the four men in their different
positions around the circuit, and it was downright amusing to see the antics
of the lowly pledge in earning the coveted letters.

The fraternity race was won by the Phi-Delta Phi fraternity, to whom a
silver loving cup was awarded by the committee.

While a great number of our alumni were unable to come, due to its
being inauguration day, and the fact that many of them lived so far away
as to make it impossible to get there, the ones who were present were fully
pleased that the event was one which would be repeated, until in a few
years we will have to limit the reunion to alumni only, and the students will
have to have a frolic of their own. All present were satisfied, and the writer
heard many say that next year they would get their class together and show
up the others. We feel sure that the affair is surely one that must be re-
peated, and we rise in thanks to the Faculty and the Student Council for
having promoted such a promising event.

The following names were taken at random from the alumni register used
at the Homecoming: K. B. Czarnecki '95; E. R. Nowlon '13; W. C. Hilton '18;
John Angus '18; George H. Fein '10; Henry G. Kobick '12; J. F. Cervinka '12;
George H. Maloney '10; Fred A. Rowe '92; J. H. Nicolai '10; Fred Laramie '98;
T. P. Riordan '18; Edward Steinke '18; W. A. Weismann '18; Webster H.
Burke '03; William F. Conlon '10; A. N. Kraus '14; A. H. Ellensohn '20;
Jacob Kaplan '04; D. H. Sweet '21; Guy Guernsey '04; L. V. Roeder '92;
John A. Cooke '07; Frank F. Trunk '19; William Pishzak '97; W. A. Kepinger
'18; T. P. Bradchild '99; W. S. Smyth '11; R. A. Haussuer '93; Morjon L.
Roberts '90; Charles R. Casler '90; John Huron '92; John P. Bryen '12; James
F. Melodey '13; N. Rothblum '09; E. T. Breen '20; G. W. Claussen '13; L.
Schulz '21; E. M. Bond '17; Charles H. Jackson '92; A. E. Millard '17; C. F.
Murray '19; Murray Miller '17; Eimer W. Holmgren '20; Donald C. Campbell
'21; T. E. Murphy '04; Daniel Brennan '15; John P. Giese '09; Hargrave
A. Long '17; G. R. Katzman '23; Charles F. Baker '20; J. David Dickinson '23;
Joseph R. Harrington '15; C. Helmer Johnson '96; R. J. Lavegn '01; S. J.
Kuettler '12; O. W. Holmes '20; Harry G. Keats '99; Arthur R. Wolfe '90;
Minna Schmidt '24; John M. O'Connor '00; Wm. G. Shortall '08; W. G. Wood
'12; B. E. Pinkerton '01; W. B. Ward '98; Frank M. Moore '93; T. G. Vance
'00; August J. Fry '06; Frank H. Dennards '94; T. F. Monahan '90; Hobart
E. Turney '96; W. F. Ferguson '18; Irving E. Johnson '14; Carles Nixon '12;
Leo A. Weisskopf '14.

WHAT THE ALUMNI THOUGHT OF THE HOMECOMING.
The following are extracts taken from the letters of various alumni in
response to a letter sent out by the Homecoming Committee:
"It is a good idea and you have my best wishes for a successful celebration."
"With best wishes for a good time."
"Sure thing, you have my support."
"My sincere best wishes to all of the old crowd."
"We'll come home all right, and I hope to be with you."
"I am heartily in favor of what you are doing, and I think it is a splendid idea."
"Thanks for offering me an opportunity to enjoy a wonderful night among old friends."
"I am very sorry I cannot be down and meet the boys as I sure would enjoy being with them on this occasion."
"I hope to be able to attend and wish you the greatest of success in this undertaking."
"You may feel sure that I am very sorry of my inability to be present, and while I may not be there in body (physically) I am going to be with you in my thoughts."
"Glad to come."
"With my best wishes for your success in this event, which I hope will be an annual affair."
"Sure, I will be there."

DEBATING ACTIVITIES.

Owing to the fact that the Grinnell College debating team was unable at this time to make the Chicago trip, the debate between Grinnell and Chicago-Kent, which was to have been held on Saturday, February 28th, was not held.

On March 24th the Chicago-Kent team, Messrs. Terry, Minne and Huber, will meet a team from Simpson College, of Indianola, Iowa, at the Simpson College auditorium, the subject for that debate being "Resolved, that the United States was justified in passing the Japanese Exclusion Act." Chicago-Kent will speak on the affirmative. The English system of judging will be used, the members of the audience voting before and after the debate to indicate their views on the question.

Team Manager William M. James announces that the Chicago-Kent team will meet Grinnell at Grinnell, Iowa, on March 25th, the subject being: "Resolved, that Congress should have the power by a two-thirds majority to nullify decisions of the Supreme Court declaring federal laws unconstitutional," Chicago-Kent upholding the negative.

While it will not be possible for a great percentage of the student body to make this trip with the team, a word of encouragement to the members will be of great help. Let us all join in wishing the team the best of luck on this trip.

WOMEN'S BAR ASSOCIATION MEETING.

The Women's Bar Association of Illinois held its annual banquet at the Gold Room in the Congress Hotel on Monday, March 16th, at 6:30 p. m. The principal speaker was Hon. James J. Davis, Secretary of Labor, who spoke on "Immigration and Immigration Laws." The Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois were also guests of honor.

Miss Irene V. McCormick, of the class of '21, acted as chairman of the banquet committee.
SWIMMING TEAM ACTIVITIES.

Under Coach Bishop the Kent Swimming Team engaged in its first meet of the season with the Y. M. C. A. College, at 5315 Drexel Blvd., February 19.

Kent won the meet by a score of 40 to 28. Eight events were participated in. Dickson was the high point winner, scoring three first places, namely, the plunge, 220 and 100 yard dashes. Dickson is a former Northwestern swimmer and he well proved his ability in this meet. Other point winners were Tittle, taking first place in the 40 yards back, placing in the 40 yard breast and in the 100 yard free style. Tittle is a Chicago Athletic Association man. McGoorty took first in the 40 yard dash, and placed in the 100 yard dash. McGoorty formerly swam with the Notre Dame team. Bishop placed in the fancy diving and in the 220 yard dash. The divers were at a disadvantage, being unfamiliar with the board. Bishop has been connected with Life Guard crews for a number of years. Selinger placed in the 40 yard breast and Quigley in the fancy diving.

The students who are regularly participating in the 5,000 Point Club will be rewarded accordingly at the termination of the swimming season.

Notice is given of the scratching of the Life Saving event in the 5,000 Point Club. This will be optional to the members.

CHICAGO-KENT SWIMMING TEAM.

JAMES BISHOP, Coach. WILLIS G. DUNLAP, Mgr.
NOTABLE EVENTS OF MARCH IV.

1. CHICAGO-KENT COLLEGE OF LAW—FIRST ANNUAL HOME-COMING!
2. inauguration of president coolidge.

* * *

LAMENTATIONS OF A LAW CLERK.

(At common law) "After seeking a position for many months I have at last 'office found.'"

(At the present) "When my 'boss' asked me to pick up the telephone book which he had dropped, I fulfilled his request, altho it was merely directory."

* * *

ADVICE TO ATTORNEYS.

When you receive your first client, be sure to make your fee simple.

* * *

THE JUNIOR CURRICULUM, A VALENTINE.

Common-Law-Pleading.
Roses are red, violets are blue,
I'm balled up on Pleading and so are you.

Real Property.
A Junior raised his hand one night,
And said, "Real Property's a fright."
The Prof heard this remark somehow,
(How I wish I knew how he did it).

Equity.
Judge Lindsay always sat up nights,
He never came to class.
He was preparing harder questions,
So that the Juniors couldn't pass.

Evidence (alias, "Pickett's course").
P is for the mark Judge Pickett gave me,
I is when it's me that must recite,
C is what the other boys all rated,
K is kause he kalls on me each night,
E is for the subject we call Evidence,
Ts—it's tough and terrible, we think.
Put them all together they spell P-I-C-K-E-T-T,
The prof. who puts us on our feet.

Six Juniors were carried out of Section four the other day. Judge Pickett asked a question on Contracts. A petition was circulated, seeking an injunction restraining the professors from asking such questions unless we are warned beforehand, and have our texts with us.
An injunction has been suggested as the remedy for the sounds produced by the so-called Junior Peerless Quartette, which holds forth nightly in one of the Junior sections. A canvass of the class showed that the majority of the members favored an execution of the guilty members.

HELP WANTED—From now to June, by all the Juniors.

WILL TRADE—An Equity book, almost new, for a first-class notebook on Equity Pleading. Apply Soon.

SHOOT ON SIGHT.

Dear Count: A frosh asked me the other night if the Junior year really was as easy as he had heard. What'll I tell him?—Junior.
Dear Junior: Don't tell him—kill 'im.

THINGS AT KENT.

Saddest—The "E" we got last semester.
Funniest—Frosh.
Hardest—Tuition.
Prettiest—choice next issue—(bribes accepted at all hours).
Noisiest—Junior Nonpareil Quartette.
Finest—Closing buzzer.
Longest—Class hour when we're unprepared.
Et al.

REASONS WHY ONE SHOULD BE A PLASTERER.

(In which we carry our reader through 3 years at Kent.)

By the Frosh (heartily).
Criminal Law is terrible,
Sales are not so good.
Bullard keeps after us,
And so does Professor Wood.

By the Juniors (with frenzy).
Real Property is a cross-word puzzle,
What's Pleading all about?
With one more course like Evidence,
We'd all go crazy, or pass out. (Some Juniors did.)

By the Seniors (with feeling).
Well, it can't last much longer now,
They let us out in June, I hear.
But if we do not mind our knitting,
We stick around another year.
DELTA CHI.

Delta Chi held a very enjoyable chapter dance at the Rose Room of the Great Northern Hotel on February 21st, having as their guests, a number of their prominent alumni members and several guests from the school.

The Chapter takes pleasure in announcing the initiation of Harvey Thorson, John Kahoun, Morton Anderson and Kenneth Abbs, and the pledging of William Townsend, Lee Kious, Irving Stanick and Carl Knutson. The members are all pleased to welcome the new brothers and pledges to the fold of Delta Chi at Chicago-Kent.

The pledges above mentioned, aided by pledge Norman Bullard made a very good showing at the Homecoming. The Delta Chi booth, decorated by them, was most attractive and received many favorable comments from Alumni and visitors.

The goats did not do quite so well in the fraternity obstacle race, but their failure to get in and out of barrels will not be held against them too strongly. They certainly did their bit in tearing down the first obstacle.

The Chapter held its election of delegates to the Bi-Annual National Convention of Delta Chi to be held August 26th at the Many Glaciers Hotel, Glacier National Park, Montana, and selected Bros. Walter O'Brien, Harold T. Huber and Everett H. Allison as delegates, with Claude Phillips, Clinton Callow and Kenneth Abbs as alternates.

Delta Chi congratulates Nu Beta Epsilon on the winning of the Scholarship cup for the first semester. Delta Chi prides itself that with a membership quadruple that of the winners, only a small fraction of a point separated them at the end. Delta Chi now boasts one first and two seconds in three contests for the cup and is already out to make it another first for this semester.

HAROLD T. HUBER.

NU BETA EPSILON.

Nu Beta Epsilon has been covered under the cold, dismal, snows of winter, obscure to all those who were not in our midst; but with the coming of spring blossoms, the balmy atmosphere, and the chirping of birds, we must remove our cover and take our place with the other fraternities in the Review.

We take this opportunity to thank Delta Chi for the custody of the silver loving cup, and all the other fraternities for their aid in computing the returns of the contest. We hope to continue to care for this emblem of wisdom, but in the event that we should fall behind in the coming race we hope to turn it over to the next victors as cheerfully as did Delta Chi.

We had a very pleasant smoker at the Great Northern Hotel March 3, and every one attending had a delightful time. The speakers of the evening were Hon. Bragstone and Judge Max Luster.

We take this means of warning the school that if any of the following pledges are missing from Chicago-Kent in the very near future, that although we may be the proximate cause of their temporary incapacitation, still we will assume no responsibilities that would ultimately end in our conviction: Robert
Fisher, Joseph Minnow, Richard Sonnenschein, William Robinson, George Silverstein and David Fried. To you we say beware of the “Ides of March.”

HENRY MITGANG, “Chancellor.”

---

ALPHA SIGMA IOTA.

Alpha Chapter of Alpha Sigma Iota recently held another smoker at the Morrison Hotel. Among other things, we again had the pleasure of hearing a most entertaining and educational talk by Frederic A. Fischel, Esq. Words fail to express the benefit derived from his well weighed and sincere expostulations.

It is with gratification that we learn of Brother Leon P. Mazor’s recent accomplishment, namely, that of winning the “Callaghan Prize” for the most desirable thesis of the past semester.

It probably will not be a great length of time before we shall be evidenced by a third chapter of our fraternity. Now that the semester is rapidly approaching the half-way mark, and with an eye to the future, extensive thought is already being given to the formation of an Alumni Chapter.

EDWIN A. HOFELD.

---

DELTA THETA PHI.

The final check of the scholarship committee showed Delta Theta Phi a close third in the race for the Scholarship cup. The Juniors all had exceptionally high standings, the Seniors and Freshmen not so good.

During February and the early part of March, we enjoyed several smokers at the Press Club. We take great pleasure in announcing the pledging of Mark Cord ’28 and Charles Bullard ’26.

Saturday, March 7, the Delta Theta Phi Alumni Club of Chicago held a smoker at the Italian Room of the Sherman House for the National officers of the Fraternity who were in the city at that time.

---
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