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TWO NEW STUDENT CONTESTS

Scholarship Trophy
Fuller Inn, Phi Delta Phi, has donated to the Chicago Kent College of Law a large trophy, to be awarded semi-annually to that fraternity or other student organization which shows, from the records of the school, the highest average of scholarship for the preceding semester. The winning organization will be entitled to the possession of the trophy from the time of its awarding until the determination of the student grades for the ensuing semester.

Every student organization is urged to compete for this trophy. Its possession should be the aim and ambition of each of the various undergraduate bodies.

The classes as a whole, and any overlapping organization, such as the Burke Debating Society are excluded from competing.

LAW CONTEST FOR JUNIORS

Interesting legal questions will be published from month to month in the Review, and the competition of all juniors is invited.

Answers should not exceed 500 words in length. For the best answers, a mark of two perfect recitations will be given, and for the second best a mark of one perfect recitation. The best answer will be published in the Review.

This month's question is on Evidence and is as follows:

A man held a life insurance policy which provided that no benefit should be paid in case of suicide. The policy holder died under circumstances which occurred the holding of an inquest by the Coroner, and the Coroner's jury returned a verdict of suicide. In a suit against the insurance company brought by the beneficiary, may the record of the proceedings had before the Coroner (including the verdict) be admitted into evidence in behalf of the defendant? Why?

Answers must be in the Editor's hands by February 1, 1924.
HEARD IN FRESHMAN CLASS ROOMS.

Mr. Wooj:—We are not dismissed yet, gentlemen, I am sorry, Mr. Grish has the floor.

Class:—So are we

Mr. Pringle:—What are ministerial duties?

Ledeky:—Well a minister has certain duties to perform in a community—

Mr. Pringle:—You get a 10 for your nerve

Mr. Wood:—What is the consideration in this promise?

Melon:—I think it is all right

Mr. Baker:—In a contract of sale by sample, does the buyer have the right to examine the goods upon delivery?

Mr. Lexow:—Yes it is.

Mr. Wood:—What is the outstanding principle in the sale of these cows?

Lambe:—Well, in this case the farmer manufactured the cows.

* * *

Muth:—Are the parents liable for the torts of their children, Mr. Pringle?

Mr. Pringle:—Why should you hold the parents liable. They should have a collection taken up for them.

* * *

Mr. Monahan:—Speaking of the jury room do you know where it is located, Mr. Miller?

Mr. Miller:—Is that the room that is in front of you where you go into the courtroom?

Mr. Monahan:—Yes, and it is behind you when you go out.
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NEW SUPREME COURT RULE 39.

The recent amendment by the Supreme Court of its rules relating to admission to the bar is of such general interest to the student body that it appears proper to give in this publication a more complete account of the same than appeared at the time in the public press.

The text of the rule as amended (excluding only 2 or 3 clauses of no importance to this student body) is as follows:

Amended Rules Concerning Admission to the Bar of Illinois.

Rule of the Illinois Supreme Court as Revised at the October Term, 1923.

Rule 39. Board of Examiners, Appointment of.—The State Board of Law Examiners shall consist of five members of the bar appointed by this court to serve for terms of three years and until their successors are appointed. At the October term, 1923, a member shall be appointed from the First Appellate Court District and a member from the Second Appellate Court District; at the October term, 1924, a member shall be appointed from the First Appellate Court District and a member from the Third Appellate Court District; and at the October term, 1925, a member shall be appointed from the Fourth Appellate Court District. The Board shall elect annually one of its members president and one secretary and treasurer. The Board shall audit annually the accounts of its secretary and treasurer and shall report to the court at each October term a detailed statement of the finances of the Board together with such recommendations as shall seem advisable.

Examinations. How Conducted and Where.—The Board shall conduct three examinations annually—in Chicago on the third Tuesday in March and in July, and in Springfield on the Third Tuesday in November. Such examinations shall be conducted by printed interrogatories, shall be uniform and shall be supervised by the members as a body, a majority of the Board constituting a quorum. The Board shall certify to the court at each term those who have met the requirements for admission to the bar, and the applicants so certified shall appear in person before this court and shall be admitted to the bar on motion in open court.

Preliminary Education, Requirements for Examination. Proof of Study. How Made.—Each applicant for examination shall present to the Board of Law Examiners satisfactory proof that he has a general and legal education sufficient to qualify him therefor. For those who in good faith begin the study of law prior to July 1, 1924, proof of general education shall consist of a diploma showing graduation from an accredited four-year high school or a certificate of the registrar of the University of Illinois, or other College or university of equal credit, that the applicant is entitled to enter such college or university with further proof that this general education was acquired prior to the beginning of the study of law. Such applicant must further show that he has within six years next prior to applying for examination pursued for a period of three years, during at least thirty-six weeks in each year, a course of law studies covering the law of real and personal property, persons and domestic relations, torts, contracts, partnership, bailments, negotiable instruments, agency, suretyship, wills corporations, equity jurisprudence, crimes, conflict of laws, evidence common law and equity pleading, the Federal and State constitutions, and legal ethics; and that such law studies have been pursued in an established law school accredited by the Board of Law Examiners, or under the personal tuition of one or more licensed attorneys, and that the applicant, if studying under such tuition, has pursued said
studies at least thirty-six weeks in each year and has submitted to regular and satisfactory examinations by such attorney during said period upon each subject. Students pursuing studies under the tuition of an attorney shall take the current annual examinations hereinafter required. Proof of legal education shall consist of the affidavit of the applicant and the certificate of the secretary or one of the professors of the law school showing personal attendance at such law school, or the affidavit of the attorney or attorneys under whose tuition such studies have been pursued; or, if in consequence of the death or absence from the State of such attorney or attorneys, his or their affidavit cannot be procured, such proof may be made by affidavit of any credible witness having knowledge of the facts.

For those beginning the study of law after July 1, 1924, proof of legal education shall be made (a) by a certificate from an established law school (or law schools) accredited by the Board of Law Examiners showing that the applicant has pursued a course of law studies in such law school (or law schools) of at least 1,200 class room hours, and has passed a satisfactory examination in each of the law studies required for graduation by such law school (or law schools), which shall include the law subjects hereinbefore enumerated, provided the Board shall not give credit for more than 332 class room hours in any one year; or (b) by showing that the applicant has in good faith, while actually engaged in the office and under the personal tuition of a licensed attorney (or attorneys) in active practice, pursued for a period of four years during at least thirty-six weeks in each year a course of law studies to be prescribed by the Board of Law Examiners as the equivalent of such law school course. Such applicant shall submit to and satisfactorily pass an examination by the Board of Law Examiners once each year during the first three years of such law office study. Proof of such law office study shall be made by an affidavit of such licensed attorney (or attorneys) showing that the applicant has pursued the course of studies herein required and has passed satisfactory examinations in each subject. If, in consequence of the death or absence from the State of such attorney (or attorneys), his (or their) affidavit cannot be procured, such proof may be made by an affidavit of any credible witness having personal knowledge of the facts, subject to the approval of the Board of Law Examiners. (c) if an applicant pursues his course of law studies partly in such accredited law school and partly under the tuition of
such licensed attorney (or attorneys) he shall be allowed credit for studies in such law school upon presentation of a certificate therefrom showing the studies he has taken therein by personal attendance, and that he has satisfactorily passed examinations in such studies, such certificate showing the number of class room hours and the number of weeks of law study pursued by such applicant in such law school. He shall be allowed credit for such studies as he pursues under the tuition of a licensed attorney (or attorneys) when proof is made as provided in section (b) above. Such an applicant shall pursue his law studies for a period of four years during at least thirty-six weeks in each year.

Re-Examinations of Rejected Applicants
If an applicant for admission to the bar by examination shall be rejected at a first or second examination he shall not again be admitted to an examination until one examination has intervened after such rejection. If an applicant shall be rejected at a third or fourth examination he shall not again be admitted to an examination until two examinations have intervened after such rejection. Before taking a second or subsequent examination he must furnish evidence satisfactory to the Board that he has diligently pursued the study of law since his last examination. If an applicant has been rejected at a fifth examination he shall not again be admitted to an examination.

Proof of Moral Character, How Made.
At the October term in each year there shall be appointed by the court a Committee on Character and Fitness in each of the Appellate Court districts of the State consisting of not less than three attorneys at law and the member or members of the Board of Law Examiners appointed for the respective districts, to which shall be referred all applications for admission to the bar of this State, the members of such committee to continue in office until their successors are appointed. The committee shall require the attendance before it or a member thereof of each applicant with the affidavit of at least three practicing attorneys personally acquainted with such applicant, residing in the county in which such applicant resides, testifying to the good character and general fitness to practice law of such applicant, said affidavits to set forth in detail the facts upon which the opinion is based.

Each applicant must be a citizen of the United States, an actual resident of the State of Illinois, and twenty-one years of age or over. He must be able to speak and write readily and intelligently the English language, and must give evidence to the Committee on Character and Fitness that he understands and believes in the character from a court of record of the county of his residence, a sworn statement showing his full name, age, birthplace, place of residence, and length of residence in such place; if born in a foreign country, at what age he came to the United States and when and where he was naturalized; the name, birthplace, residence and occupation of his parents; the common schools attended by him and the dates when such attendance began and ended; the name and location of the college attended by him, if any, together with dates name and location of the law school attended by him, if any, together with the dates of attendance and degrees received; the time employed in law offices, if any, together with a list of such offices and the dates of employment in each; whether he has applied for admission to practice law in any other State or country, and, if so, when and where, and whether he was admitted to practice and, if so, how long he practiced; whether he has heretofore applied for admission to the bar of this State and, if so, when and why he was not then admitted; whether he has been engaged in any occupation, business or profession and, if so, when and where, giving the names and addresses of his employers, the positions occupied by him and the period of his employment; and whether he has ever been a party to any legal action and, if so, the full details of his interest therein.
MIDYEAR JUNIORS

"Other Kent Classes Take Notice."

For a second time I repeat this statement if any of the other classes of Chicago Kent desire to run a dance and make it both a social and financial success, hand in your requests to the Social Committee of the Midyear Juniors.

The Midyear Juniors Dance held at the Palmer House December 3rd, 1923 was not only successful socially and financially but again proved that the class although small in numbers has sufficient enthusiastic and energetic public spirited men and women (Miss Elliott) to put across anything that it undertakes.

The natural query that presented itself at the Dance was "Why the full dress Tuxedos?" (did you all notice Harry Hoffman.)

We were greatly honored to have Mr. Higgins attend our dance and can assure him that we greatly appreciate his thoughtfulness and friendship.

No sooner had we completed our affair successfully, when suggestions for future events of even greater magnitude began pouring into the Social Committee from all sides. It was noticeable that most of these suggestions were information on how to spend the surplus from our dance. Rather anxious to share the spoils of the victory.

However, the class has already commenced to look for an outlet for some of its surplus energy and there is no doubt that before long we will have started on a new and peerless venture which will require all of our efforts.

There seems to be among the various classes at Kent a deplorable lack of cooperation and friendship. Every class although being an entity in itself, should attempt to unite with all the other classes to make for a greater Chicago Kent. It would be a capital plan if all the classes selected representatives to meet together for the purpose of creating a better understanding and greater friendship among the student body. This organization should have power to make plans for at least one affair each year to be given by the entire school and thus unite the students and make them socially acquainted, not only with their classmates, but also with their schoolmates. This would make our brief stay in school more pleasant and beneficial.

In the meantime, watch for the next big affair of the February Class of 1925.

Max I. Hirsch.

DELTA CHI NOTES

Saturday, November 24th the U. of C. Chapter and Chicago Kent Chapter gave a joint Thanksgiving Dance at the Sisson Hotel. The U. of Wis. Chapter and U. of Ill. Chapter were guests. A most enjoyable time was had.

Delta Chi announces the initiation of John C. Martin and Richard B. Durant, November 23rd.

Delta Chi gave a Smoker at their quarters at 123 West Madison Street, November 15th, among other interesting features of the evening was a most instructive talk by Judge Pickett on "The Spirit of the Law." So great was the enthusiasm aroused by the Judges stimulating address that it is questionable whether he will be able to resist the opportunities of the Chapter for a return date. About 25 guests were present.

Brothers Dickinson '23, Lamar '24 and Durant '26 have recently opened a Bachelor Apartment in Rogers Park.

Brother Wm. James '25 is out of the hospital again after a serious case of appendicitis.

Brother N. Lee Beck '23 was married last week to Miss Estelle Reily.

Delta Chi has recently acquired a new 5-tube Radio Set which is furnishing as much amusement as our new phonograph records. We have also made a substantial addition to our library.
SENIOR NOTES.

The Senior Class wishes to emphasize the fact that the Senior Prom is to be held on January 5th, 1924.

Tickets can now be secured. There is a representative in each room who will gladly see that you are furnished with as many tickets as you desire. Start the New Year right by coming to the dance. We can assure you that you will have a good time.

The first practice of the basket-ball team was held on November 27th. About thirty-five members of the various classes responded to the call for candidates. With last year's team back and an abundance of new material, the outlook for a successful season is very promising. The following members of the squad are Seniors: Connors, Connelly, Graff, Horn, Polly, Ramsey.

We understand that Cy----who resides to the North of the City----has predicted a bumper crop of Magnolia for next year.

The Transcript is now well under way and by the first of the year the printers will have a goodly portion of the manuscripts.

The first game of the season will be with Loyola Law School. Games with Lake Forest, Valparaiso and Armour Tech, have been scheduled. All that the team needs to make it a winning aggregation is the loyalty of the students. Come out to the games and give the boys the support that they deserve.

We understand that a few of our classmates were successful in passing the December bar examination. To them we extend our heartiest congratulations and trust that they will find a real satisfaction in the practice of law.

The Transcript staff are holding weekly meetings, where all matters pertaining to the work are discussed. Much enthusiasm is being shown and the members of the staff are determined to put out a book which will be a credit, not only to the Senior Class, but to the school.

The book this year will have many new features. It is expected that some of our well-known alumni will contribute. A new section will be devoted to fiction. This will be an innovation and it is hoped that an added interest will be given to the Transcript.

We urge everyone to pay their incidental fees, as soon as possible if they have not already paid them. The expense of the Transcript are to be paid out of the fund and money will be needed at once to meet current demands. The Senior Class and more especially the staff will consider it a favor if you will give this matter your immediate attention.

The members of the Senior class take this opportunity to wish the Faculty and the student body a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

PHI ALPHA DELTA NEWS.

The National Convention of Phi Alpha Delta will convene at Washington, D. C., December 29th, for a three day session.

Two former Blackstone men have been recently honored, politically: Daniel Ryan becoming County Commissioner and Phillip Finnegan, Judge of the Municipal Court.

The lobby of the Great Northern Hotel resembled the main tent of a country circus Saturday afternoon, December 1st. The amusement was furnished by talent from Kent. The costumes were also of Kent creation. After the show the actors were guided over uncertain paths to the Rose Room, where they were formally initiated as members of Phi Alpha Delta.

A banquet was served in the Fraternity Room at which Blackstone and Webster Chapters were hosts to their new members and alumni, both Chapters having held a joint initiation. The guests responding to toastmaster Hackett were: Hon. Edward Litsinger, Hon. Harry Moran, Hon. John H. Lyle, Justice Sullivan of Webster, former Justice Leonard of Blackstone.

Robert E. Downs.
Phi Delta Phi has enjoyed a successful year under the able guidance of Magister Gorman. The rushing season began with a smoker in the Birdie Shop, and at the end of the first six weeks the following men had been pledged: Barney, Buford, Hall, Hartray, Heise, Kulp, Meyers, Preble, Shaw, Smullen and Warner. Meetings are being held on Tuesday evenings, every two weeks, and Brothers Wood and Pickett of the faculty are usually there to give us their valuable advice.

This year Phi Delta Phi is presenting a scholarship trophy for which all the undergraduate organizations can compete. This is given in the hope that it will stimulate and raise the scholarship at Chicago-Kent. It is a beautiful cup, and one that any undergraduate group will be proud to have to ornament its mantle.

December 1st we had a dance at the home of Brother Edwin and Everett Walker, and the members and pledges were in attendance in large numbers. A fine time was had. A theater party is planned for the near future.

Brother Gorman has been chosen as the delegate from Fuller Inn to the annual national convention, which meets in Florida the last week of December.

Our pledges are already coming up to expectations Meyer having been chosen President of the Freshman Class, and Smullen of the Juniors Brother Moudy is Sergeant-at-arms of the Junior Class.

Fuller Inn intends to support every school function this year to a man, and looks to every brother and pledge to do his full share. With the help of the alumni and faculty, we will hit on "all six" for the entire school year.

Everett W Walker, Clerk

CHRISTMAS SUGGESTIONS
Boyarski:--A cigarette.
Brandes:--A pacifier.
Burnett:--His due(s).
Feldman:--Correspondence course in journalism.
Friedman:--A three-stage amplifier.
Furlong:--Another mile.
Gescheidel:--A Gillette Safety Razor.
Greenberger:--A legal vocabulary.
Heise:--A vocal chord accelerator.
Ho:--A goat.
Huber:--A hair tonic neutralizer.
Kleifel:--Mary Garden Reducing Pills.
McSteen:--A stein of beer.
Mikucki:--Scene Sta-comb.
Minnow:--A hook.
Mr Monahan:--A synonym for "gist."
Mr Wood:--A box of match s.

FAVORITE EXPRESSIONS
Sonnenschein:--That is to say.
Feldon:--In other words.
Meyer:--That's what I said.
Suggs:--Am I to understand that.
Kayner:--I wonder what he marked me.
Kulp:--Ah.
ADVENTURES OF A LAW CLERK
(Extracts from the Dairy of one Samuel Peppy).

Editor's note: These extracts are taken from the office dairy of a former Kent student, Samuel Peppy, and seem to set forth quite a vivid picture of the life of a young lawyer in Checaugau during the latter part of the first quarter of the 20th century. The various events as related by Mr. Peppy are not given herein their exact order, but have been arranged so as to form an unbroken story of each event which he sets forth so interestingly. Reproduction is prohibited, save by permission of the Review.

5 October, 1922. Filed suit this day in the Supreme Court of Checaugau. There being a multitude of litigants, and few clerks of the court in attendance, I was obliged to wait some thirty minutes for an opportunity to present my recital of right for filing. There being a like multitude at the constable's office, an additional wait of twenty minutes for the issuance of a writ of summons.

15 October, 1922. This being the day set for the return of the summons, I found that there had been no service, and therefore went again to the office of the clerk of the court to take out an alias summons. Here I found great turmoil and disorder, and it was only after forty-five minutes of waiting and being edged out of turn by the more vociferous that I was able to obtain an alias writ, which was in the course of another quarter hour, in the constable's hands.

24 October, 1922. The court for the return of the alias summons. Again found that there had been no summons, and to the office of the clerk of the court for pluries summons. Long wait in line for file, and then another forty-five minutes wait at the alias window.

1 November, 1922. Luck was with me today. I found that the defendant had been served with the writ of summons, and had entered his appearance.

7 November, 1922. Miserable day, indeed. Was called into a court upon the defendant's motion to expunge my recital of right from the files, and, after waiting there for two hours, his motion was heard and denied. Good fortune, I take it.

26 November, 1922. The court this morning at 9:30, prepared to try my case. At 10:25 the court appeared, and at 11:50 my case was reached. Most unfortunately the court record or files has been misplaced, and as they could not be found I was obliged to consent to a continuance until 13 March, 1923, which, it seems, was the next available date. My witnesses returned to Morgan Park, much disgruntled.

13 March, 1923. Again to court, only to find that a holiday had been declared because of the 8th wedding anniversary of the 61st deputy bailiff.

14 March, 1923. Days of days. Found my case at the foot of a long call, and after waiting with my witnesses for the entire forenoon, was obliged to return at 2:00. Fortunately the case was reached at 4:00, and after a half-hour trial, punctuated by the ignorance of the counsel for the defendant, the court gave judgment for the plaintiff. Justice has been done, and now after these few months of waiting there is keen satisfaction in the fact that the judgment was satisfied in open court by the defendant, a total of $23,70. I find that my modest fee of $10.00 must cover approximately 19 hours spent in court, to which must be added the preparation of the papers and a certain amount of correspondence.

15 March, 1923. Read last evening in the News that brick layers were receiving $20.00 per day, or some such sum, and wondered greatly thereat.

(These entries seem to be all that relate to this particular lawsuit, and Mr. Peppy's next adventure is reserved for the January issue).
WHAT'S THE NEWS THIS MONTH?

If you know of any real news that ought to be given publicity, please let us have it on this blank. This is YOUR publication, and its value to you, and to the readers, largely depends upon the extent to which you keep the editors in touch with you, what you are doing, and your views on matters of general interest.

WE THANK YOU HEARTILY FOR YOUR CO-OPERATION.

To: THE CHICAGO KENT REVIEW

116 South Michigan Avenue
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