

December 1993

## Table of Contents - Issue 2

Chicago-Kent Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Chicago-Kent Law Review, *Table of Contents - Issue 2*, 69 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. i (1993).

Available at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol69/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chicago-Kent Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. For more information, please contact [dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu](mailto:dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu).

# CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW

---

---

VOLUME 69

1993

NUMBER 2

---

---

## CONTENTS

### SYMPOSIUM: IS THE LAW MALE?

LINDA R. HIRSHMAN  
SYMPOSIUM EDITOR

FOREWORD: THE WANING OF THE  
MIDDLE AGES *Linda R. Hirshman* 293

INTRODUCTION: WELCOME ADDRESS *Judith S. Kaye* 301

FOUR FEMINIST THEORETICAL APPROACHES  
AND THE DOUBLE BIND OF SURROGACY *Mary Becker* 303

This article analyzes specific performance of paid surrogacy from the perspective of four feminist approaches to legal issues. The four approaches are formal equality, dominance feminism, hedonic feminism, and pragmatic feminism. Professor Becker argues that the surrogacy issue should not be resolved as an abstract question of high theory but as a pragmatic question, in light of the likely advantages and disadvantages of any approach.

IS TORT LAW MALE?: FORESEEABILITY  
ANALYSIS AND PROPERTY MANAGERS' LIABILITY  
FOR THIRD PARTY RAPES OF RESIDENTS *Leslie Bender* 313  
*with Perette Lawrence*

Focusing on a 1992 Tennessee Supreme Court case about property managers' potential liability for key mishandling that results in a third party rape of a resident, Professor Bender illustrates how tort doctrine can be male in its application and on its face. By failing to understand the reality of rape in women's lives and experiences, tort law has developed and applied doctrine based on myths and male-biased perspectives about rape. Professor Bender argues that inclusion of women's perspectives and understandings in tort law will eliminate some of its biases and make the legal system more just.

IS THE LAW MALE?:  
THE CASE OF FAMILY LAW *Sylvia A. Law* 345  
*& Patricia Hennessey*

This article argues that family law is male in three ways: rules explicitly favor men; rules are neutral but have an adverse impact on women; and fixed rules are inherently male. American child custody has embodied male law in each of these

forms. In the child custody area, fixed male-like rules—for example the primary care taker presumption—benefit women.

**RAPE, VIOLENCE, AND WOMEN'S  
AUTONOMY**

*Dorothy E. Roberts* 359

In this article, Professor Roberts addresses two recent proposals to replace traditional rape law with a scheme that distinguishes between violent sexual assaults and nonviolent impairment of sexual autonomy. She argues that placing the blame for rape law's failure to protect women on the association of rape with violence misses more fundamental biases in the law. Professor Roberts also questions whether the move beyond violence neglects important continuities between violent rape and seemingly nonviolent exploitation.

**IS THE LAW MALE?: THE ROLE OF EXPERTS**

*Sarah E. Burns* 389

Professor Burns examines the role of social science experts in identifying and changing bias and stereotyping in the law.

**IS THE LAW MALE?:  
LET ME COUNT THE WAYS**

*Lynn Hecht Schafran* 397

This article argues that just as using the male-disease model as the standard for medical training, research and treatment has produced gender bias in health care, so the exclusion of women's life experience from the creation and implementation of the law has produced gender bias in the courts. Gender is a factor in many more areas of the law than is generally realized. Only by integrating considerations of gender throughout legal and judicial education can we achieve a justice system responsive to the diversity of human experience.

**THE CHARLES GREEN LECTURE**

**THE TECHNOLOGY OF INSIGHT:  
COMPUTERS AND INFORMED CITIZENS**

*Peter Seipel* 417

Information technology gives new dimensions to the citizens' right of access to official documents. This article, which is based on the 1993 Charles Green Lecture in Law and Technology, presents and discusses Swedish experiences with information technology against the background of recent developments in the European Communities.

**NOTES**

**THE TROUBLED CONSTITUTIONALITY OF  
ANTIGANG LOITERING LAWS**

*Joel D. Berg* 461

Cities across the country are passing broad antigang ordinances in an effort to curb rising crime rates attributed to criminal gang activity. Many of these antigang ordinances are loitering laws, which have long been constitutionally questionable. This note examines the constitutionality of one such loitering law—the Chicago antigang loitering ordinance—and proposes measures for drafting antigang loitering laws that are both effective and constitutionally sound.

CLEAN SWEEP OR WITCH HUNT?:  
CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES IN CHICAGO'S  
PUBLIC HOUSING SWEEPS

*David E.B. Smith* 505

This note examines the constitutionality of Chicago's public housing sweeps under two contrasting models of Fourth Amendment jurisprudence. Objective evaluation of legal precedents and social sciences data suggests that the sweeps, standing alone, are neither constitutionally permissible nor particularly effective. This evaluation does, however, indicate what the content and limits of a program to increase security in public housing should be.

# CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW

Chicago-Kent College of Law  
Illinois Institute of Technology

---

PUBLISHED BY THE STUDENTS OF  
CHICAGO-KENT COLLEGE OF LAW  
ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
565 WEST ADAMS STREET, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60661

---

## 1993-94 BOARD OF EDITORS

JOHN GRAYSON JOHNSON  
*Editor-in-Chief*

JULIE L. BENTZ  
*Managing Editor*

### EXECUTIVE ARTICLES EDITORS

DAVID Q. BURGESS

KATHLEEN J. GETTY  
*Survey Editor*

MATTHEW J. PETERSEN

KAREN J. FERGUSON

TIMOTHY SHEA, JR.

### NOTES & COMMENTS EDITORS

DANIEL T. BROWN  
SCOTT J. EISEN  
RONALD P. GOULD  
KATHLEEN L. HOBBS

NOREEN P. CONNOLLY  
MICHAEL J. FRAZIER  
ALLYSON B. HARRIS  
RONALD E. SALEMI

### SENIOR ASSOCIATES

EGLE V. BUTVILA  
BRIAN HEIDELBERGER  
LAURENCE J. MRAZ  
ROBERT K. TEMPLE

ISABEL M. EUSTAQUIO  
LOUISE HILDEBRAND  
JAMES L. SAWYER  
PAUL R. WALKER-BRIGHT

GAIL M. FRIEDMAN  
MELANIE A. MILLER  
LESLIE P. SLATER  
DAVID G. WIX

### STAFF

STEVEN R. ALLEN  
DEBORAH H. BUTTELL  
MICHAEL T. FOLEY  
MICHAEL B. HARLIN  
KENDRA J. JOHNSON  
MALCOLM T. McLAUGHLIN  
SUSAN E. MOORE  
BRIAN C. PAULS  
STEVEN F. POE  
DANA L. ROMANIUK  
SCOTT T. SCHUTTE  
STEPHANIE J. SIMMONS  
WALTER SCOTT WILKENS

PATRICE S. AREND  
THOMAS L. CAMPBELL  
SCOTT R. FRADIN  
NOREEN A. HEALY  
S. RANDALL KALBERG  
CHRISTINA MACK  
GIA L. MORRIS  
GUILLERMO J. PEREZ  
ALICIA PRATHER  
ANDREW S. ROSENMAN  
KRISTA S. SCHWARTZ  
KATHY M. SONS

ELISABETH M. AYYILDIZ  
AMY L. FISHER  
JEAN M. FRALEIGH  
JULIE E. HOLLAND  
LISA KRASBERG  
TRACY S. MENGES  
MATTHEW J. O'HARA  
HENRY PIETRKOWSKI  
MARY L. REAMES  
KAREN A. RUZIC  
JON R. SICK  
MICHAEL SORENSEN  
MYRIAM ZREZCZY

### FACULTY ADVISORS

JACOB I. CORRÉ

STEVEN J. HEYMAN

JAMES LINDGREN

DEAN RICHARD A. MATASAR

Member, National Conference of Law Reviews

SUSAN M. SILBERMAN, *Publication Assistant*

---