

October 1979

## Table of Contents - Issue 2

Chicago-Kent Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Chicago-Kent Law Review, *Table of Contents - Issue 2*, 55 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. i (1979).

Available at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol55/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chicago-Kent Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. For more information, please contact [dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu](mailto:dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu).

# CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW

---

---

VOLUME 55

1979

NUMBER 2

---

---

## CONTENTS

### ARTICLES

- CHILD SNATCHING BY PARENTS: WHAT LEGAL  
REMEDIES FOR "FLEE AND PLEA"?  
Charles J. Fleck 303
- THE LEGISLATIVE RESPONSE TO "SWEETHEART"  
MANAGEMENT CONTRACTS: PROTECTING  
THE CONDOMINIUM PURCHASER  
Thomas G. Krebs 319
- DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF THE IMPLIED WARRANTY  
OF HABITABILITY IN ILLINOIS—  
A REALISTIC APPROACH  
Anthony J. Fusco, Jr.  
Nancy B. Collins  
Julian R. Birnbaum 337
- NEPA: WAITING FOR THE OTHER  
SHOE TO DROP  
Kenneth E. Gray 361
- JUDICIAL ENFORCEMENT OF THE ILLINOIS  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT'S  
RULEMAKING PROVISIONS  
Robert P. Burns 383
- ADVERTISING BY LAWYERS: SOME  
PROS AND CONS  
Morley Walker 407

## NOTES AND COMMENTS

- THE PRIORITY OF FEDERAL NON-TAX LIENS: ALTERNATIVES  
TO AN ARCHAIC DOCTRINE 427
- SECTION 1983, IMMUNITY, AND THE PUBLIC DEFENDER: THE  
MISAPPLICATION OF *IMBLER V. PACTMAN*: *ROBINSON V.*  
*BERGSTROM* 477
- DOING BUSINESS WITH GOVERNMENT: ARE PROSPECTIVE SUP-  
PLIERS ENTITLED TO DUE PROCESS? *POLYVEND, INC. V.*  
*PUCKORIUS* 497
- MEDICAL MALPRACTICE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IS SPECIAL  
LEGISLATION: *WOODWARD V. BURNHAM CITY HOSPITAL* 519
- THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL USE OF DEADLY FORCE BY THE  
POLICE 539
- CO-CONSPIRATOR DECLARATIONS: PROCEDURE AND STANDARD  
OF PROOF FOR ADMISSION UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES OF  
EVIDENCE 577